

a sub-straight a composition containing a first precursor molecule containing at least two cyclic hydride groups, a second precursor molecule containing at least two carbon-carbon moldable bonds, and a photo activateable catalyst. Both of these claims include the step of exposing at least a portion of the composition to light of a type and in amounts sufficient to cause hydrosilylation reactions to occur. A consciencous search directed to either group of claims would of necessity have to include the same field of search as the other group of claims. Quite clearly, the method of claim 1 is a part of the method of claim 103.

In his, description of the Group I claims, the Examiner states that these claims are "drawn to a method of forming a chemically selective sorbent film." In his description of the Group II claims, the Examiner states that these claims are "wrong to a method of forming a chemically selective sorbent film." Even though the Examiner states that the Group I claims are classified are class 522, sub-class 99, and the Group II claims are classified in class 430, sub-class 325, he gives no reason for the different classifications. The statement that "the inventions of Group II involve image exposure and development steps where as in the invention Group I such steps are not involved", merely points to the fact the Group II claims are more specific than the Group I claims. This does not mean that they are directed to separate and distinct inventions. The Examiner is asked to reconsider and withdraw the restriction requirement and to examine all of claims in this application. I Did!

[Paragraph five of the Office Action appears to present an election of species requirement. In response, it is submitted that there are allowable generic claims in the application and for this reason an election of species requirement need not be made.] However, in compliance with

the Examiner's requirement, applicants elect the species represented by example one, and this election is made with traverse. Claims 25-29 are specific to the elected species. Claims 1-24, 30-50, 101 and 102 are generic claims.

The Examiner is asked to reconsider and withdraw the election of species requirement.

Respectfully submitted:

Jay W. Grate et al.



Delbert J. Barnard
Reg. No. 20,515
Barnard & Pauly, P.S.
P.O. Box 58888
Seattle, WA 98138-1888
(206) 246-0568
Fax: (206) 243-4618